Discussion of Exorbitant Privilege Gained and Lost: Fiscal Implications

by Chen, Jiang, Lustig, van Nieuwerburgh, and Xialoan

Francisco Roldán IMF

Fiscal Policy in an Era of High Debt IMF, April 2023

The views expressed herein are those of the authors and should not be attributed to the IMF, its Executive Board, or its management.

Debt Sustainability vs Fiscal Capacity



IMF's SRDSF

· Divide through by GDP

$$d_{t+1} = d_t \left(\frac{1+r_t}{1+g_t}\right) - \frac{pb_t}{1+g_t}$$

- Sophisticated *r_t*: maturity, currency, inflation, etc
- Get distributions for $\{r_t, g_t, pb_t, d_t\}$
- Probability of debt-stabilizing primary balance?

This pape

· Iterate forward

$$D_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} PB_{t+j}
ight]$$

- Distributions, probabilities, risk premia all implicit in NPV with stochastic discount factors
- Find appropriate SDFs

Debt Sustainability vs Fiscal Capacity



IMF's SRDSF

 \cdot Divide through by GDP

$$d_{t+1} = d_t \left(\frac{1+r_t}{1+g_t}\right) - \frac{pb_t}{1+g_t}$$

- Sophisticated *r_t*: maturity, currency, inflation, etc
- · Get distributions for $\{r_t, g_t, pb_t, d_t\}$
- Probability of debt-stabilizing primary balance?

This pape

· Iterate forward

$$D_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} PB_{t+j} \right]$$

- Distributions, probabilities, risk premia all implicit in NPV with stochastic discount factors
- Find appropriate SDFs

Debt Sustainability vs Fiscal Capacity



IMF's SRDSF

 \cdot Divide through by GDP

$$d_{t+1} = d_t \left(\frac{1+r_t}{1+g_t}\right) - \frac{pb_t}{1+g_t}$$

- Sophisticated *r_t*: maturity, currency, inflation, etc
- · Get distributions for $\{r_t, g_t, pb_t, d_t\}$
- Probability of debt-stabilizing primary balance?

This paper

· Iterate forward

$$egin{aligned} D_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^\infty M^{\$}_{t,t+j} \ extsf{PB}_{t+j}
ight] \end{aligned}$$

- Distributions, probabilities, risk premia all implicit in NPV with stochastic discount factors
- Find appropriate SDFs

$$D_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M^{\$}_{t,t+j} (T_{t+j} - G_{t+j}) \right] = P_t^T - P_t^G$$

- $\cdot \,$ One PDV of taxes, one PDV of spending $\quad \longrightarrow \quad \,$ Think of those as asset prices
- · Decompose into short risk-free (not special) rate, term premium, risk premium
- · Proxy risk premium with risk premium of GDP
- Proxy GDP risk premium through stock market: leverage
- · Procedure for steady-state as well as dynamics

$$D_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M^{\$}_{t,t+j} (T_{t+j} - G_{t+j}) \right] = P_t^T - P_t^G$$

- $\cdot \,$ One PDV of taxes, one PDV of spending $\quad \longrightarrow \quad \,$ Think of those as asset prices
- · Decompose into short risk-free (not special) rate, term premium, risk premium
- · Proxy risk premium with risk premium of GDP
- Proxy GDP risk premium through stock market: leverage
- · Procedure for steady-state as well as dynamics

$$D_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M^{\$}_{t,t+j} (T_{t+j} - G_{t+j}) \right] = P_t^T - P_t^G$$

- $\cdot \,$ One PDV of taxes, one PDV of spending $\quad \longrightarrow \quad \,$ Think of those as asset prices
- · Decompose into short risk-free (not special) rate, term premium, risk premium
- Proxy risk premium with risk premium of GDP
- Proxy GDP risk premium through stock market: leverage
- · Procedure for steady-state as well as dynamics

$$D_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M^{\$}_{t,t+j} (T_{t+j} - G_{t+j}) \right] = P_t^T - P_t^G$$

- $\cdot \,$ One PDV of taxes, one PDV of spending $\quad \longrightarrow \quad \,$ Think of those as asset prices
- · Decompose into short risk-free (not special) rate, term premium, risk premium
- Proxy risk premium with risk premium of GDP
- Proxy GDP risk premium through stock market: leverage
- · Procedure for steady-state as well as dynamics

$$D_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M^{\$}_{t,t+j} (T_{t+j} - G_{t+j}) \right] = P_t^T - P_t^G$$

- $\cdot \,$ One PDV of taxes, one PDV of spending $\quad \longrightarrow \quad \,$ Think of those as asset prices
- · Decompose into short risk-free (not special) rate, term premium, risk premium
- · Proxy risk premium with risk premium of GDP
- Proxy GDP risk premium through stock market: leverage
- · Procedure for steady-state as well as dynamics

$$D_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M^{\$}_{t,t+j} (T_{t+j} - G_{t+j}) \right] = P_t^T - P_t^G$$

- $\cdot \,$ One PDV of taxes, one PDV of spending $\quad \longrightarrow \quad \,$ Think of those as asset prices
- · Decompose into short risk-free (not special) rate, term premium, risk premium
- · Proxy risk premium with risk premium of GDP
- Proxy GDP risk premium through stock market: leverage
- · Procedure for steady-state as well as dynamics

What does holding the special asset give you apart from a pecuniary return?

- · Bonds-in-utility function?
- · Regulation?
 - ... Banks demand it for compliance reasons
- · Institutions?
 - ... Can post the asset as collateral
- In equilibrium, supply of special asset related to multiplier (or marginal utility for BIU)
 - ... Monopolist understands this: market power (Choi-Kirpalani-Perez '22), no overmining the bubble (Reis '21; Brunnermeier-Merkel-Sannikov '22; Willems-Zettelmeyer '22)

What does holding the special asset give you apart from a pecuniary return?

- · Bonds-in-utility function?
- \cdot Regulation?
 - ... Banks demand it for compliance reasons
- · Institutions?
 - ... Can post the asset as collateral
- In equilibrium, supply of special asset related to multiplier (or marginal utility for BIU)
 - ... Monopolist understands this: market power (Choi-Kirpalani-Perez '22), no overmining the bubble (Reis '21; Brunnermeier-Merkel-Sannikov '22; Willems-Zettelmeyer '22)

Issuing "the" safe debt requires issuing safe debt

U.S. in the 1800s: the long end

 US consols at 150-200bps over UK consols in 1790-1840 (outside wars)

 US policymakers (esp. Hamilton) assume this reflected default risk



Source: Payne, Szoke, Hall, and Sargent (2022)

- · Assume constant probability of default *p* iid each year
- + Price of consol with coupon $\kappa = 1/\beta \mathbf{1}$

$$q^{\star} = \beta(\kappa + q^{\star}) \implies q^{\star} = 1$$
 (U.K.)

$$q_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[(1 - d_{t+1})(\kappa + q_{t+1}) \right] = \beta (1 - p) \left(\kappa + q_{t+1} \right)$$
(U.S.)

- Choose β so yield on U.K. consols = 400bps
- Move p and keep track of \mathbb{P} (no default in 1790–1840)

- · Assume constant probability of default *p* iid each year
- + Price of consol with coupon $\kappa = \mathbf{1}/\beta \mathbf{1}$

$$q^{\star} = \beta(\kappa + q^{\star}) \implies q^{\star} = 1$$
 (U.K.)

$$q_{t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[(1 - d_{t+1})(\kappa + q_{t+1}) \right] = \beta (1 - p) \left(\kappa + q_{t+1} \right)$$
(U.S.)

- Choose β so yield on U.K. consols = 400bps
- Move p and keep track of \mathbb{P} (no default in 1790–1840)

- · Assume constant probability of default *p* iid each year
- + Price of consol with coupon $\kappa = 1/\beta 1$

$$q^{\star} = \beta(\kappa + q^{\star}) \implies q^{\star} = 1$$
 (U.K.)

$$q_{t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[(1 - d_{t+1})(\kappa + q_{t+1}) \right] = \beta (1 - p) \left(\kappa + q_{t+1} \right)$$
(U.S.)

- Choose β so yield on U.K. consols = 400bps
- Move p and keep track of \mathbb{P} (no default in 1790–1840)

- · Assume constant probability of default *p* iid each year
- + Price of consol with coupon $\kappa = 1/\beta 1$

$$q^{\star} = \beta(\kappa + q^{\star}) \implies q^{\star} = 1$$
 (U.K.)

$$q_{t} = \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[(1 - d_{t+1})(\kappa + q_{t+1}) \right] = \beta (1 - p) \left(\kappa + q_{t+1} \right)$$
(U.S.)

- Choose β so yield on U.K. consols = 400bps
- Move p and keep track of \mathbb{P} (no default in 1790–1840)

How much can US default risk explain?

With rational-expectations, deep-pocket, risk-neutral lenders: how to discipline p?



- · Same exercise
- But now lenders mistrust their approximating model and seek robust decision rules

... Pouzo and Presno (2016), Roch and Roldán (2023), based on Hansen and Sargent (2001)

$$q_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\exp(-\theta \mathsf{v}_{t+1})}{\mathbb{E}_t \left[\exp(-\theta \mathsf{v}_{t+1}) \right]} (1 - d_{t+1}) (\kappa + q_{t+1}) \right]$$

- Stochastic discount factor summarizes a worst-case model feared by lenders
- · In worst-case model, default probability endogenously assessed as higher
 - ... Can compute model detection-error probabilities

- · Same exercise
- $\cdot\,$ But now lenders mistrust their approximating model and seek robust decision rules

... Pouzo and Presno (2016), Roch and Roldán (2023), based on Hansen and Sargent (2001)

$$q_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\exp(-\theta \mathsf{v}_{t+1})}{\mathbb{E}_t \left[\exp(-\theta \mathsf{v}_{t+1}) \right]} (1 - d_{t+1}) (\kappa + q_{t+1}) \right]$$

- Stochastic discount factor summarizes a worst-case model feared by lenders
- · In worst-case model, default probability endogenously assessed as higher
 - ... Can compute model detection-error probabilities

- · Same exercise
- $\cdot\,$ But now lenders mistrust their approximating model and seek robust decision rules
 - ... Pouzo and Presno (2016), Roch and Roldán (2023), based on Hansen and Sargent (2001)

$$q_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \left[\frac{\exp(-\theta \mathsf{v}_{t+1})}{\mathbb{E}_t \left[\exp(-\theta \mathsf{v}_{t+1}) \right]} (1 - d_{t+1}) (\kappa + q_{t+1}) \right]$$

- $\cdot \,$ Stochastic discount factor summarizes a worst-case model feared by lenders
- · In worst-case model, default probability endogenously assessed as higher
 - ... Can compute model detection-error probabilities

How much can US default risk explain? (with robustness)

With robustness, varying the actual default probability p, staying above 20% DEP



How much can US default risk explain? (with robustness and extreme p)

Can make $p \rightarrow 0$, increase robustness, and generate spreads with acceptable DEPs



How much can US default risk explain? (with rational expectations and DEPs)

Rational expectations + DEP against $p_0 \sim$ 0: cut the robustness middleman



10

Concluding remarks

 $\cdot~$ Test of FTPL/MMT?

$$D_t \stackrel{?}{=} FC_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} PB_{t+j} \right]$$
$$D_t = \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} PB_{t+j} \right] + \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} \lambda_{t+j} \right]$$

· Test of FTPL/MMT?

$$D_{t} \stackrel{?}{=} \mathsf{FC}_{t} = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} \mathsf{PB}_{t+j} \right]$$
$$D_{t} = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} \mathsf{PB}_{t+j} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} \lambda_{t+j} \right]$$

• Test of FTPL/MMT?

$$D_{t} \stackrel{?}{=} FC_{t} = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} PB_{t+j} \right]$$
$$D_{t} = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} PB_{t+j} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} \lambda_{t+j} \right]$$

 $\cdot\,$ Test of FTPL/MMT?

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{D}_{t} &\stackrel{?}{=} \mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}_{t} = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{t,t+j}^{\$} \mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}_{t+j} \right] \\ \mathcal{D}_{t} &= \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{t,t+j}^{\$} \mathcal{P}\mathcal{B}_{t+j} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{t,t+j}^{\$} \lambda_{t+j} \right] \end{split}$$

• Test of FTPL/MMT?

$$D_{t} \stackrel{?}{=} FC_{t} = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} PB_{t+j} \right]$$
$$D_{t} = \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} PB_{t+j} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{t} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} M_{t,t+j}^{\$} \lambda_{t+j} \right]$$

Interbellum period, Rational Expectations

For 1790–1914, targetting average spread of 110bps



Interbellum period, Robustness

Keeping p < 0.17%, varying robustness (above 20% detection-error probability)



Interbellum period, Rational Expectations with DEPs

For 1790–1914, varying p to stay above 20% DEP against $p_0 \sim 0$

